UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,316 10/15/2003		Peter L. Montgomery	MS1-1648US	8266	
22801 LEE & HAYE	7590 12/26/2007 S.P.I.C	EXAMINER			
421 W RIVER	SIDE AVENUE SUITE 50	CHEN, SHIN HON			
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
	•	2131			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·		<
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	——— <i>C</i>
Advisory Action	10/686,316	MONTGOMERY, PETER L.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shin-Hon Chen	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	•
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or conthis application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nana Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing data. 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, at lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in now with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mete of the final rejection.	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C nust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	e later than SIX MONTHS from the maili or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount is shortened statutory period for reply originer than three months after the mailing display.	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beto) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling and NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	consideration and/or search (see NC low); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re	OTE below); educing or simplifying	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) and the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7,8,10,12 and 14-22. 	.121. See attached Notice of Non-Cs): allowable if submitted in a separate ∑ will not be entered, or b) □ w	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			

В.	☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

 <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

		_			
2	1 1	\sim	hei		
. 7			CIP!		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The 101 rejection recited in the previous office action has not overcome and the examiner has provided explanation as to why the claims are not in compliance with 35 U.S.C 101 by citing Supreme Court case "Gottschalk v. Bension". Regarding applicant's remarks, applicant emphasized that the present invention is an improvement on machines, which is statutory category of subject matter for which the applicant is entitled to apply for a patent. However, the examiner has determined that the present invention is purported to over any use of the claimed method in a general-purpose computer of any type. For instance, claim 1 recites a comptuer system comprising a memory and a processor for performing Montgomery multiplication, while any general-purpose computer is capable of achieving stated "procedure", the mathematical procedure can be carried out in existing computers long in use, no new machinery being necessary and they can also be performed without a computer. Therefore, applicant's argument is traversed based on previous findings.

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100